

United States Patent and Trademark Office

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
08/834,061	04/11/1997	MICHAEL J. LEVEILLE	1504/47129	3351
7	see 91/23/2004		EXAM	INER
ANTHONY J JANIUK			TUCKER, PHILIP C	
WATERS CORPORATION 34 MAPLE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILFORD, M			1712	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			(2		
-		Application No.	Applicant(s) LEVEILLE, MICHAEL J.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner Philip C Tucker	Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply		with the correspondence address		
THE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL actions of time may be swifted as used for personnel of 3 communications of the many be swifted as used for personnel of 3 communications of 3 communications of 4 co	TION. CPR 1130(a). In no event, however, may a ston. ston. sy, a regly within the statutory minimum of the ry period will apply and will express SIX (6) MV statute, cause the application to become the maining date of this communication, even the maining date of this communication, even the maining date of this communication, even the maining date of this communication.	a reply be limitly filled inty (30) days will be considered firmely. INTH'S from the mailing date of this communication peabunders I ask ILS C 5 130.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of				
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.			
3)[Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	allowance except for formal ma under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
sposit	ion of Claims				
	Claim(s) 17-20,34-36,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.			
5)⊠	Claim(s) 17-20 and 38 is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 34-36 and 39 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.			

Pe

St

Di

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

37 CFR 1.78.

1) Notice of References Clied (PTO-992)
2) Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademan, Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

10) The drawing(s) filed on ____is/are: s_ accepted or b_ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11√1 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Office Action Summary

Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Other:

Part of Paper No. 20

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

See the atheride detailed Office action for a list of the ourified copies not received.

| See The atheride detailed Office action for a list of the ourified copies not received.

| See The atherided detailed Office action for a list of the ourified St. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) as a list of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstautury double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doubline grounded in public policy a policy reflected in the situaties so as to prevent the white proper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harasament by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 1F. 3-d 1946, 29 USPO24 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993), In re Longl. 759 F.24 887, 2255 USPO24 Cir. 1985); In re Vogel, 422 F.24 383, 164 USPO 261 (CPA 1997), in re Vogel, 422 F.24 343, 164 USPO 261 (CPA 1997), and, In re Thorington, 418 F.24 528, 135 USPO 264 (CPA 1997), is 30 USPO 264 (CPA 1997).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 34-36 and 39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6.423,249. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because although the spectral feature is not cited in the claims of 6,423,249, both sets of claims are directed to optical instruments comprising the same light features, sensor, calibration medium and dopant, and as such the current claims would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art over the claims of 6,423,249.

Claims 17-20 and 38 are allowable over the art of record.

- 4. Applicants arguments have been considered, but are not deemed persuasive with respect to the terminal disclaimer. Since the '249 patent issued before the present application, the current application's term will be controlled by the term of the '249 patent. Applicant should see MPEP 804.02 wherein it is stated that if a nonstatutory double patenting is made in two or more applications, then a terminal disclaimer must be filed in each.
- THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is flied within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone Application/Control Number: 08/834,061

Art Unit: 1712

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0851

> Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-2943